Appl. No. 10/705,342

Response to Office Action of 5/18/07

And Subsequent Advisory Action of 9/21/07

--Submission with RCE of 10/29/07

Remarks

Reconsideration and further examination is respectfully requested in light of the

Attorney of Record Nathan W. Johnson

205-521-8369

foregoing amendment, and in consideration of these remarks.

Claims 4 and 6-10 are pending in this application. Claims 1-3 and 5 previously have

been cancelled.

The Examining Attorney rejected Claims 4, 6, and 8-10 on the basis of O'Hara et al

(U.S. Patent 5,069,141) under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Claim 7 was rejected on the basis of Section

103 over O'Hara.

All rejections are traversed in this paper. Accordingly, Claims 4, and 6 through 10 are

pending in this application. The arguments made and the amendments requested herein are

the same as those submitted on or about August 20, 2007 (which have not been entered,

according to the September 21, 2007 Advisory Action), with the exception of the change of

the word "section" to "platform" in Claim 4 at parts (b)(2); (c); and (d). These locations in

Claim 4 are amended in this paper in response to comments of the Examiner in the Advisory

Action. Applicant thanks the Examiner for alerting applicant to these items.

Location of Support for Amendments:

Applicant appeals to the paragraphs [0035] and [0037-0038] for support for the

amendments made in this paper. Other locations in the Specification may be more or less

relevant. Applicant requests the Examiner to consider these locations, and any others that

4

may be relevant.

1/1631742.1

Appl. No. 10/705,342

Response to Office Action of 5/18/07

And Subsequent Advisory Action of 9/21/07

--Submission with RCE of 10/29/07

Attorney of Record Nathan W. Johnson 205-521-8369

Response to Rejections: Section 102(b) Issues:

Basis: The Examiner rejected all Claims 4, 6, and 7-10 on the basis of O'Hara, citing to the

presence of a load bearing section as labeled 43. The Examiner considers item 43 to be the

"load bearing section." With reference to paragraphs [0035] and [0037-0038], Applicant has

now amended the language of each of the independent claims to now refer to a "load bearing

platform" rather than a "load bearing section." Applicant believes that even if item 43 in

O'Hara could be characterized as part of the load bearing "section", it would not be

characterized as a "platform." Accordingly, a limitation or element of each of the

independent claims is not present in O'Hara, and Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal

of the rejection for these independent claims and all claims dependent therefrom.

Response to Rejection: Section 103 Issues re Claim 7:

With respect to Claim 7, the claim depends from Claim 6, which pursuant to the

discussion above is believed to be allowable. As dependent from an allowable claim, Claim 7

is also believed to be allowable.

The arguments set forth herein are not exclusive or exhaustive, and Applicant reserves

the right to assert other distinctions, but does not deem such argument necessary in light of the

force of the distinctions discussed above.

Applicant respectfully seeks withdrawal of the rejection.

Fees

An extension of time of three months is hereby requested, and the fee for such

extension will be paid by EFS Web credit card transaction (and, if such payment is not

successful or sufficient, any or all of the fee may be charged to Deposit Account 50-0954). If 5

1/1631742.1

Appl. No. 10/705,342

Response to Office Action of 5/18/07

And Subsequent Advisory Action of 9/21/07

--Submission with RCE of 10/29/07

Attorney of Record Nathan W. Johnson 205-521-8369

any other extension is required in order for this paper to be considered, such an extension is

also hereby requested. This response therefore is timely. An Authorization to Charge

Deposit Account 50-0954 is also enclosed to cover any deficiency. To the extent any petition $\frac{1}{2}$

is required in order for this response to be considered timely, or otherwise to maintain the

pendency of this Application, this paper is deemed to include and to be such a petition.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that in light of the foregoing, all rejections to the

application should be withdrawn. Applicant has diligently sought to comply with all

requirements and to respond to any arguments. The Application is believed to be in condition

6

for allowance, and early approval is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 29, 2007

Nathan W. Johnson, Esq. Attorney of Record

Reg. No. 44,173 205-521-8369 (Voice)

205-488-6369 (Fax) niolanson@bradlevarant.com

Attorney of Record Nathan W. Johnson 205-521-8369

AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 50-0954

If, after processing the enclosed correspondence, any charges, fees, or sums due remain unpaid in connection with this correspondence, I hereby authorize the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to charge all such remaining fees, charges, and other sums due to Deposit Account Number 50-0954

Date: October 29, 2007

Nathan W. Johnson Authorized User

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via the Office electronic filing system (i.e., via EFSweb) in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.6(a)(4), on October 29, 2007

October 29, 2007 Signed: Date:

> Wathan W. Johnson Reg. No. 44,173